

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

du

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,678	09/23/2005	Emil Wilding	31608-210847	1917
26694 VENABLE LI	7590 01/30/2008 .P		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 34385			SZEKELY, PETER A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1796	
•	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		WILDING ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	10/517,678					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Peter Szekely ears on the cover sheet with the c	1796 correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Se	eptember 2005.	•				
,						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of: • 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	. Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/04. 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number:

10/517,678 Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claim limits the particle size of the chalk to 45 microns: The examiner believes applicants meant 10-45 microns. Appropriate correction is not required but permissible.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The use of a composition is not patentable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brehmer et al. 4,717,496, Goldberg 6,475,619 or British United Shoe Machinery Ltd. WO 94/03211.
- 5. Brehmer et al. disclose a hot melt adhesive (HMA) comprising binder and filler and filler particle size in the Abstract, inorganic and organic fillers among them calcium carbonate (chalk) and wood meal in column 2, lines 54-60 and shoes in column 1,

Art Unit: 1796

lines14-26. Polyepsilon caprolactone and elastomeric polyurethane are claimed in claims 4 and 5 respectively. Goldberg teaches poly-epsilon caprolactone in claim 3, particle size in claim 4, concentrations of polymer and filler in claim 1 and calcium carbonate in the Examples. British United Shoe Machinery Ltd. recites polyepsilon caprolactone and wood flour in the Abstract, concentrations in claim 1, polymers in claims 2-5 and particle sizes in claim 10. The claims do not exclude polymer coated fillers. All properties are inherent in the composition. Applicants' claims are not novel.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 6. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of 7. the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brehmer et al. 4,717,496, Goldberg 6,475,619 or British United Shoe Machinery Ltd.

10/517,678 Art Unit: 1796

WO 94/03211, in view of Gaku et al. 4,820,769,Oien 5,525,663 or Lees et al. 2006/0121225.

- 9. The primary references have been described already. Gaku et al. divulge a hot melt adhesive in claim 1 and a process including heating, kneading, extruding and injection molding in column 5, lines 16-50. Oien reveals a hot melt adhesive in claim 1, while stirring under vacuum and degassing is shown in the paragraph overlapping columns 11 and 12. Lees et al. an adhesive showing a particle size of more than 50 microns in paragraph 0047 and the adhesive can be HMA (paragraph 0030). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to manufacture the adhesive of the primary references using the methods of the secondary references, since said secondary references prove that their process limitations are well known and are customarily used in the adhesive industry. There are no unexpected results shown due to applicants' process.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:10 a.m.-4:40 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/517,678 Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 57,1-272-1000.

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796

P.S. 1/28/08